UKRI Future Leaders Fellowships Assessment Process Overview Proposals are checked for: Proposals are checked to ensure that they fit - Applicant and Host Organisation eligibility within remit of UKRI. Examining - Costings Remit - Other relevant statutory examinations- e.g. Animal usage, Ethics compliance etc. Pre-Meeting Each proposal requires a minimum of 2, and Applications are put into appropriate groupings normally at least 3 usable reviews in order to to form panels. Appropriate panel members progress to the Sift meeting. across the breadth of the UKRI remit are approached and invited to attend the meeting. Meeting UKRI uses various sources and works with the Preparation Reviewing Research Councils in order to obtain appropriate expert reviews. Panel members use the Peer Review Extranet to view proposals, reviews and applicant Applicants are invited to respond to the responses. reviews they have received once the application is fully reviewed. Panel Meeting Chairs and Introducers operate as moderating panels, informed by all grant documentation including peer review comments and applicant's response. Applications are banded into a possible four Sift Meeting groups, where panels will make a recommendation of those applicants to prioritise to invite for interview. Roving panel members input into a tensioning process to agree, based on the banding of the multiple panels, which applicants should be prioritised for interview. Unsuccessful Successful or Unsuccessful? Successful Unsuccessful applicants are notified that they Sift panel outcomes are communicated to **Notification** shortlisted candidates, who are invited to Rejects have been unsuccessful. of success interview. No feedback is available from the sift panel. Interview preparation is finalised and panel members confirmed. Detailed interviews timetable prepared and applications grouped Meeting into appropriate panels. Preparation Each proposal to be allocated introducers to ask the candidate questions during the interview. Panels meet to conduct shortlisted candidates' interviews. Following interviews, each application is scored and a ranked list is formed, nterviews relative to the assessment/scoring criteria. A funding line is agreed based on the budget Interview available and quality of proposals. The top Panels candidates are recommended for funding. Roving panel members input into a tensioning process to agree, based on the banding of the multiple panels, which applicants should be prioritised for funding. Unsuccessful Successful or Unsuccessful? Successful Unsuccessful candidates receive notification **Notification** Candidates are notified of the success of their Rejects that informs them that they have been fellowship proposal via email. of success unsuccessful. Post-Interviews Proposals undergo checks prior to the award being formally offered. Revised documents or Feedback is sent to applicants via the Je-S email **Iterations** further information may be requested if the Feedback notification of outcome. original document/justification requires refinement. Any amendments to the proposal, such as cuts to requested costs, are applied here. **Approving** The Offer Letter for funding will be shared directly with the Host Organisation via a Je-S Outcomes, including bandings, are published to email notification. the FLF section of the UKRI website. Only grant reference numbers are published. Announcement Please note that decisions of the FLF panel will This is the final check before the proposal is not be open to appeal... funded. Authorising Candidates are checked for active sanctions before authorisation.